ANNUAL STATEMENT	11
Of The Pacific States Savings and Loan Company, of San Francisco,	
Cal. For the year ending July 31st 1905 The amount of authorized capi-	
tal	H.,
The number of share sold dur- ing the year 2,023	Т
The numbers of shares cancelled and withdrawn during the present year 4,899	F
The number of shares now in force 31,062	M
Receipts Cash on hand last report 2,187 i1	
Mortgage loans repaid in regular way	
Received for monthly dues installment shares 201,494 27	m
Received for paid-up stock 49,780 00 Received for Interest 139,692 70	V.
Received for fines 3,085 05 Received for transfer fees 11 75	ar
-Bills receivable 33,731 00 Bills payable 10,000 00	m
Rents 5,821 74	no
Profits on real estate sold 7,577 50 Ordinary deposits 52,440 81	
Expense fund collections 2,293 78	
Agents expense fund and Insur- ance commissions 534 62	19
Attorney fees and foreclosure expense	th
Personal and Temporary accounts	re
Insurance premiums 1.047 14 Incomplete loans 17,891 33	ca
Total 983,850 01	116
Disbursements Loans on Mortgages 148,875,00	m
Loans on association stock 30,684 (4)	in
Dues repaid on matured and	of
surrendered shares 285,107 50 Profiits repaid on matured and	th
surrendered shares 85,950 97 Withdrawals of paid-up	pe be
stocks	ju
Interest on ordinary de- posits	Ji Ji
Cost of collections 2,552 98	
Expenses including calaries and attorney fees 29,806 63	Jı
Incomplete loans 57,670 45   Paid bills payable 55,000 00	cc
Real estate taken on foreclos-	115.99
ure and deed 15,510 19	
Real estate of members 45 % Repairs and insurance premi-	ai A
Real estate of members 45 00 Repairs and insurance premiums on real estate 281 24 Profit and loss (settlement of	w an A C ci
Real estate of members	A C ci
Real estate of members	w an A C ci m le
Real estate of members	w an A C ci m le d w
Real estate of members	A A C ci m le d w w
Real estate of members       45 %         Repairs and insurance premiums on real estate       281 %         Profit and loss (settlement of loans)	A C ci m le d w se m s
Real estate of members       45 %         Repairs and insurance premiums on real estate       281 %         Profit and loss (settlement of loans)	A A C Ci ci nn lee lee lee lee lee lee lee lee lee
Real estate of members       45 %         Repairs and insurance premiums on real estate       281 %         Profit and loss (settlement of loans)       2,370 21         Ordinary deposits       46,696 29         Discount       431 50         Insurance premiums       1,549 95         Taxes       11,184 53         Personal and temporary accounts       45,735 %         Cash on hand       102,899 %         Assets       Cash on hand         Cash on hand       102,899 %         Loans on mortgage securities       1,219,469 %	which was a second with the second with the second was a second with the second with the second was a second with the second with the second was a second was a second with the second was a second was a second with the second was a second was a second with the second was a second was a second with the second was a second was
Real estate of members       45 90         Repairs and insurance premiums on real estate       281 29         Profit and loss (settlement of loans)       2,370 21         Ordinary deposits       46,696 29         Discount       431 50         Insurance premiums       1,549 99         Taxes       11,184 53         Personal and temporary accounts       45,735 63         Cash on hand       102,899 8         Total       983,850 9         Assets         Cash on hand       102,899 8         Loans on mortgage securities       1,219,469 90         Real estate       16,679 90         Real estate purchased for	A A C C ci m let d w w s s s s s w w t u c c c t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t
Real estate of members       45 90         Repairs and insurance premiums on real estate       281 29         Profit and loss (settlement of loans)       2,370 21         Ordinary deposits       46,696 29         Discount       431 50         Insurance premiums       1,549 99         Taxes       11,184 53         Personal and temporary accounts       45,735 63         Cash on hand       102,899 8         Total       983,850 9         Assets       Cash on hand       102,899 8         Loans on mortgage securities       1,219,469 93         Real estate       16,679 93         Real estate purchased for members       22,942 6         Advanced for taxes       488 5	A A C Ci ci lee lee lee lee lee lee lee lee lee le
Real estate of members       45 00         Repairs and insurance premiums on real estate       281 20         Profit and loss (settlement of loans)       2,370 21         Ordinary deposits       46,696 25         Discount       431 50         Insurance premiums       1,549 95         Taxes       11,184 55         Personal and temporary accounts       45,735 55         Cash on hand       102,899 8         Total       983,850 9         Assets       Cash on hand       102,899 8         Loans on mortgage securitles       1,219,469 95         Real estate purchased for members       1,219,469 95         Real estate purchased for members       22,942 6         Advanced for taxes       488 5         Attorney fees (foreclosure expense)       386 55	w w at A A A C C ci m m lee d d d w s s s s s f f c c c t t t c c c c c c c c c c c c
Real estate of members       45 90         Repairs and insurance premiums on real estate       281 20         Profit and loss (settlement of loans)       2,370 21         Ordinary deposits       46,696 23         Discount       431 50         Insurance premiums       1,549 95         Taxes       11,184 53         Personal and temporary accounts       45,735 63         Cash on hand       102,899 8         Total       983,850 9         Assets       Cash on hand       102,899 8         Loans on mortgage securitles       1,219,469 93         Real estate       16,679 93         Real estate purchased for members       22,942 6         Advanced for taxes       488 5         Attorney fees (foreclosure expense)       386 53         Advanced for insurance premiums       1,019 30	which was a second of the seco
Real estate of members   45 % 66	was at A A A C ci min lee d d d was at the ci min to the c
Real estate of members   45 00	was an AA C ci min lee d was seen was s
Real estate of members   45 00	was an AA C ci mi lee d d was seen was
Real estate of members   45 00	was an AA C ci mi lee d d was seen was
Real estate of members	was at AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
Real estate of members	which was a second of the control of
Real estate of members	was at A C ci mi lee d was a s s s f s oo ttl to c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c
Real estate of members	was an A C ci miled was seen w
Real estate of members	was an A C ci miled was seen was seen was seen was seen was seen was seen as s
Real estate of members	which was a second of the seco
Real estate of members   25   26   16   16   16   16   16   16   16	with all the second of the sec
Real estate of members	with all the second of the sec
Real estate of members   25   26   16   16   16   16   16   16   16	with all the second of the sec

OFFICIAL COUNT OF STATE

STATE OF NEVADA.

County of Ormsby. s. s. G. Douglas, and James the books of the State Controller the performed." amount of money that should be in the Treasury) made an official examination and count of the money and vouchers for money in the State Treasury of Nevada and found the same

correct as follows: Cain \$288,280 74 Paid coin vonchers not returned to Controller 111,112 18

Total 399,392 92 State School Fund Securities. Irredeemable Nevada State School bond 380,000 00 Mass. State 3 per cent 537 000 00 honds Nevada State Bonds 253,700 00 Mass. State 31/2 per cent bonds 313,000 00 United States Bonds 215,000 00 2,098,092 92 Total

James G. Sweeney Subscribed and sworn before me this 29th day of January, A. D. 1906.

W. G. Douglass

J. Doane. Notary Public, Ormsvy County, Nev.

Two quartz wagons, one wood and one lew wheel wagon, also harness for six horses. House, barn and five less we consider the intent and purpose of

A CONTRACTOR OF THE PERSON OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA. benezer Twaddle and Ebenezer

Twaddle as Special Admr., of the Estate of Alexander Twaddle, deceased. Plaintiffs and Respondents

heodore Winters, A. C. Winters, L W. Winters and Samuel Longa-

Defendants and Appellants rom 2d Judicial District Court, Washoe County.

Messrs. Cheney and Massey, attorneys for Plaintiffs. Ifred Chartz, attorney for Defend-

ants. DECISION The respondents have moved to disiss the appeal from the judgment ecause it was not taken within one ear, and to dismiss the appeal from ne order of the district court denying ppellants motion for a new trial, also strike from the records the stateent on motion for a new trial, upon he ground that the statement was ot filed within the time prescribed v law. The appeal from the judgent is dismissed because not taken ntil March, 1905, more than one ear after its rendition on June 23. 903. On that day Judge Curler of ne Second Judicial District court he had tried the case at Rene and endered the decree, made in open ourt and had entered in the minutes order "that all business and all eses and proceedings that have not een completed or in the process of ompletion, and all new business that ay be brought before the court durng the absence of the presiding judge. referred to Judge M. A. Murphy the first judicial district court of e State of Nevada, and that he be equested to try, determine and disose of all cases and business now efore the court in the absence of the idge of this district."

Pursuant to this request Judge Murhy occupied the bench in Reno until uly 31, 1903, when a recess was takn until a further order of the court here was no other session until udge Curler's return on August 17th. in July 17th, Judge Murphy, in open ourt in Reno, made an order allowng plaintiff until August 15th in hich to file objection to findings. nd prepare additional findings.. On ugust 3d Judge Murphy at Carson ity, and within his own first judiial district, by an ex parte order nade without affidavit of Judge Curer's absence or inability, granted the efendants until September 15, 1903. within which to prepare, file and erve their notice and statement on notion for a new trial. Later extenions were made by Judge Curler, but thether they are effectual depends pon this order, which respondents laim Judge Murphy was unauthorized o make under Section 197 of the Practice Act which provides in regard or new trial that "the several periods f time limited may be enlarged by he written agreement of the parties. or upon good cause shown, by the court, or the judge before whom the ase is tried," and under district court ule XLIII which directs that "no udge, except the judge having charge f the cause or proceeding shall grant any cause or proceeding, unless it be shown by affidavit that such judge is absent from the state, or from some other cause is unable to act."

act or thing in or about said cause, proceeding, demurrer or motion, uness upon written request of the judge ing demurrer or motion."

Section 2573 of the Compiled laws, passed after section 197 of the Praconcurrent jurisdiction and power. They shall each have power to hold They shall each exercise and perform FUNDs, the court, and of Judges thereof, and shall have power to transact business which may be done in chambers at G. Sweeney, being duly sworn, any point within the State. All of say they are members of the this section is subject to the provi-Board of Examiners of the State of sions that each judge may direct and Nev., that on the 29th day of Jan. '05 control the business in his own disthey, (after having ascertained from trict, and shall see that it it properly

We think under the minute order and circumstances related, the power inherent in Judge Curler to extend the time of filing the notice and statement became conferred upon Judge Murphy during the former's absence, and that Judge Murphy became the Judge in charge, endowed with the authority to grant the extension without the presentation of the affidavit showing the absence or inability of Judge Curler, as the rule requires before the order can be made by a Judge not

having the business in charge. Judge Curer's absence was presumed to continue until his return was shown and consequently Judge Murphy's authority based upon that ab- ditch and the quantity of land irrisence would likewise continue. It is said that under the first statute mentioned, the language that "the court or judge before whom the case was tried" may extend the time invalidates the order, because Judge Murphy was not the judge before whom it was tried, and that he was not the court after he returned to Carson City, where he made the order. In a narrow technical sence this may be true, action, and that during that period baugh to flume wood a month earlier if we do not look beyond the strict letter of the statute. But not so if

that if Judge Murphy had gone to streams since the completion of their awarded to plaintiffs. Nor does it in acctrine of riparian rights and under court it would have been good, but un- stationary may account for the shortder this contention if he had stepped age and dispute. through the door into the chambers and made it, it would have been void. court the district judge, accompanied Orders extending the time for filings by a civil engineer who had testified are business usually, or properly as a witness for the defendants, viewtransacted in chambers and under ed the premises and made measure-Section 2573 can and ought to be ments. At the point of least carrymade as effectually in any part of the ing capacity of the upper Twaddle State by the judge having the case in ditch, which is the old square flume charge, as if made by him in cham-near the Bowers' Mansion and grave. bers or in open court. Judge Murphy he measured the flow at 184 inches was merely acting for Judge Curler and the water lacked more than two during his vacation, but by analogy the construction claimed, if adopted. would, in every case where a district judge dies, resigns or is succeeded, this point, and that the capacity of said flume and ditch to what is known invalidate the orders extending time 100 feet of old flume remaining up as the Bowers Mansion or grounds, new trial unless there is filed on tag under section 197 made out of court nearer the head of the ditch which the expense of maintaining said part of the plaithffs within thirty by his successor in office, although had been impaired by age and abanditch and flume to be paid by each in days from the filing hereof, a written they are of that character ordinarily granted in champers. This would mean a distinction and two rules for filing orders of the same kind. and that the judge who had tried the this instance, could make the order in chambers, while his successor could so make it only in the cases tried by him, and would have to be in court to make these simple orders extending time in actions which had been previo -ly tried by another judge.

Appellants desired and were entided to the time granted for the purpose of enabling them to secure from the court reporter who had left the State, a transcript of the testimony given on the trial, which would enable them to properly prepare the state-

Under Section 2573 Judge Curler could have made an order granting them the extension at any place in the State, and as during his absence Judge Murphy was requested by the Court minutes to attend to all busiempowered to make the order at Car son City as he did, and as Judge Curler could have done, and that it wa: not necessary for him to make the trip to Reno and undergo the formality of opening court to enter ex parte orders simply extending time, such as are usually made out of court.

The motion to dismiss the appeal from the order overruling the motion for a new trial and to strike out the statement is denied.

ON THE MENTS 450 miners inches running under a si inch pressure of the waters of Ophir Creek, alleged to have been appropriated by their grantors in the year 1856 "by means of dams, ditches and a flume" for the irrigation of their ranch containing 203.92 acres in Washoe county. The answer denies the allegation of the complaint sets up the ownership by the defendant further time to plead, move, or do any Winters, of a tract of land obut on act or thing required to be done in mile wide and two miles long, and alleges appropia ons by them or their grantors aggregating 600 inches flowing under a four inch pressure, by the year 1867, which are stated to be price Rule XLI provides: "When any to any diversion of the water by the district judge shall have entered upon plaintiffs, and asserts a claim for 12 he trial or hearing of any cause or fendant. Longabaugh, to 180 inches proceeding, demurrer or motion, or for fluming wood, lumber and ice from made any ruling, order or decision large tracts of timber lands owned by therein, no other judge shall do any him, and for domestic use and irrigating garden on forty acres at Ophir Witnesses appeared to sustain, and others to dispute plaintiffs' right as who shall have first entered upon the initiated a half century ago, and the trial or hearing of said cause, proceed- same is true regarding the claims of these defendants. The record afforus a glimpse of pioneer history at a per lod previous to the admission of this tice Act as quoted, enacts: "The dis- State into the Union, and portrays trict judges of the State of Nevada the building and decay or saw and shall possess equal coextensive and quartz mills and the rise and decline of towns by the banks of the stream the waters of which are here in litigacourt in any county of the State tion. One witness testified that the Hawkins ditch, now known as the upthe powers, duties and functions of per Twaddle ditch, was completed in 1857, and that he turned the water of Judges at Chambers. Each judge into it that year. Others stated that water was running in the ditch and flume about that time, and that these were aparently in the same place and of about the same capacity as ut present.

On behalf of the defendant other witnesses testified that they were over the ground and saw no ditch and that none existed there during those earlier years. It is unnecessary for us to detail the conflicting portions of the evidence. These were carefulfully considered by the district court, and for the reasons stated in its decision, enforced by statements in deeds made many years before any controversy arose, the finding that this ditch was constructed and a prior appropriation of water made through it an 1857 finds ample support. At first on the Twaddle ranch land was plowed for only a garden and a small piece of this case, in view of the testimony grain and but little hay was cut. A reasonable time was allowed in which to extend and complete the use of the water that would flow through he gated was increased. The lower Twaddle ditch was constructed from Ophir Creek at some time prior to 1869 and runs to and irrigates the eastern portion of the plaintiffs' ranch It is shown that since that year at least their lands have been in practically the same state of custivation should limit plaintiffs' right for irand irrigation that they were in at the rigating purposes to October 15th. time of the commencement of this

By consent of the parties in open inches of reaching the top. A surveyor had testified for the plaintiffs that its capacity was 182 inches at doned, and supplanted by a new V proportion to their interests in same. cause as Judge Curler had done in ured below about filled the new V third interest in the ditch with at the irrigation of their crops or lands premises and the character of the soil | fendant Theodore | Winters, acquirat plaintiffs required, and were entitled through conveyances which did not inches running under a feur inch repair, pressure or 3 34-50 cubic feet per serand from April 15th to Nov. 15th of each year and 20 inches or 2-5 of one enbic foot per second for domestic use and watering stock of other times. It is claimed the amount allowed is not warranted by the evidence because more than the canacity of the upper Twaddle ditch an shown by the testimony mentioned ness for him, we conclude that he was fixing it at 150 inches at the point above the mansion, and at 150 inches along the 100 feet of old flume. through which the water flowed prior to 1900

It is not necessary to determine whether the court on its own examination and measurement may allow a quantity beyond the range of the evidence, nor whether the surveyor could actually estimate the canacity of the 100 feet of old flume without knowing the volume and velocity of the water that entered it, nor whethas a slight discrepancy to be expected. swampy. The quantity of water 21- twelve in this regard. lowed by the decree scome very lineral, both for irrigation and for dagineers and others testified that one half and three fifths of an inch of vicinity varied in their estimates of the amount necessary from one and one half to three and one half inches

The evidence indicated that the plaintiffs had used as much water as that awarded to them and more, and had uniformly produced good crops Much of their land is sandy with considerable slope. After examining the soil and viewing the quantity of water as it ran on the premises, the court agreed with the testimony of the plaintiffs that that amount was necessary and adopted a mean between the highest and lowest estimates. The quantity of water requisite varies greatly with the soil, seasons, crops, and conditions, and we cannot

say that the allowance is excessive, Alexander Twaddle testified that there were times during the summer, evidently short periods after the land had been irrigated, when it was not necessary to use as much as the unper ditch full of water. On such occasions and whenever it is not neeled by the plaintiffs it should be turned to the defendants, if they have any beneficial use for it, and not permitted to waste. It may be implied by the law, but it is better to have decrees specify, and especially so in stated and of the perpetual injunction, that the award of water is limited to a beneficial use at such times as it is needed, Gotelli v. Cardelli. The point and purpose of diversion may be changed if such change does not

interefere with the prior rights. Under the testimony of Alexander Twaddle that the irrigating season closes about the first of October, and that sometimes he used water a little later, we think probably the decree This may allow defendant Longaplaintiffs' used all the water they at this season when the water is low. needed from Ophir Creek without in- and allow Winters more for watering

light of reason as applied to the or- at the time this suit was begun. It plaintiffs. Although his flume was having it flow by lands of riparian dinary rules of practice, and give due appears that the plaintiffs' had not erected many years ago Longabaugn owners to finally waste by sinking and weight to the later section. Appar- materially increased their oppropriation evaporating in the desert. The Cailently the object of this legislation was tion in thirty-three years, while and the decree properly enjoins him fornia decisions cited for appellants to prevent the granting of extensions Theodore winters admitted upon the from interfereing with that part of may no longer be considered good and the meddling of judges in cases stand that during the last ten or fif- the water of Ophir Creek awarded to law even in the state in which they which they had not tried or which teen years he had been using twice as the plaintiff, because he run that were rendered, were not properly under their control, much water from Ophir Creek in advantage in his flume past their ditch. In the recent inability of the judge who tried the he used during the first ten years that joined with the other defendants in United States, Congressman Needham action, to grant relief, or allow ex- he cultivated his lands. As he claims answering and resisting the rights at testified that irrigation had doubled conclude that this large increase in vent him from taking any water in Fresno and King countries, Califor-

titch, leading from what is now in force now in that State. wn as the Ophir Creek to the land Wooten and M. C. Lake, with the the land along its banks prior to privilege of running water through 1864. flume built above the old one by the It will be noted that this language fied by limiting the use of the 184 inplaintiffs in 1900, was 150 inches. At does not purport to grant any water, this point the judge found that 191 but rather the right to convey water of water awarded to the plantal . to inches of water which he had meas- and that it amounts to a sale of a such times as may be necessary for flume, and he estimated that the oil least the privilege to that extent of or for other beneficial purposes, befume would carry from 200 to 300 in- running in it water which Lake had. tween April 15 and October 15 of ches. From his examination of the or might appropriate. Later, the dothe court was of the opinion that the Bowers Mansion and grounds inches awarded to them, when necesto at least the amount of water they mention any interest in this disch. It had flowing in the flume at the time does not appear that Lake or his he made the examination, and he de grantors ever made any use of the creed them a prior right to 184 miners, ditch or ever contributed towards his

Alexander Twaddle stated on stand that he did not claim all this ditch and that the plaintiffs owned two thirds of it. Whether under this deed the one-third interest in the ditch became appurtenant to the Bowers land when it was never used for its irrigation, and later passed with the land without being mention ed, and whether after the lapse of twenty-five years without any use or contribution towards its repair the grantee of Lake has a third interest as a co-owner in the ditch and that part of the flume which has not becasuperceeded by the new one built by plaintiffs, are questions which we need not determine, for they, and that part of the judgment of the court which gives the plaintiffs the "exclusive use of the upper Twaddle Ditch and Flume," are not within the allegations of the pleadings which contain no reference to the exclusive use

ed as too triffing to be material and plaint of the apropriation of water "by means of certain dams, ditches for the indement for the 21 inches and a flume" the court properly de which defendants' claim should be do. | creed to plaintiffs the right to use the fucted because in excess of the cap- water through either or both the acity of the unner ditch and cume by ditches running to their lands. The fore the construction of the V stone would have that right in the upper a sona je apported by the finding of ditch if their interest in it is only the court that as plaintiffs and an undivided two-thirds, as the court their granters had for more than has given them jointly with the dethirty-one years before the commences fendants in the lower ditch, but ment of this suit used a nortion of whether the grantee of Lake owns the water through the lower Twat and can assert a right to an undivithe ditch. It is urged that 184 inches ded one-third interest, is a question is more than required for the irriga- as foreign as the ownership of the tion of plaintiffs' reach and that this mansion, and one which ought no s aspecially so harmse a few of their to be determined by the july not in 170.45 ocres of cultivated land lies the absence of any issue or allogation shove the upper diten from Onlin concerning it. The defendants speci-Crook and a small portion is naturally fically excepted to finding homenor

Patents for defendants' lands lying | Co. School Fund, Dist. 1..... 388 95 along the banks of Ophir Creek were mestic use and watering stock. En- issued to their grantors before the passage of the Act of Congress of Co. School fund Dist. 3......30 79 July 26, 1866 and it is as errol that Co School Fund Dist. 4..... 24 00 water per acre was sufficient, while for this reason a vested Common State School fund, Dist. 1. 2605 00 for the plaintiffs, farmers from the Law riparian right to the flow of the waters of Opnir Creek accrued of which they could not be described that Act If this were true detendants might as well be considered maler Special building ..........5850 00 the circumstances shown to have lost that right by acquiescence in the continued diversion of the water by plain tiffs for a period many times longer than that provided by the statute of limitations, but in this contention counsel is in error. We do not wish to consider seriously or at length an argument by which it is sought to have us over-rule well reasoned de cisions of long standing in this and other arid states, and in the Supreme Court of the United States, such as Jones v. Adams, Reno Samplin Works v. Stevenson and Broder v. Water Co., declaring that this statute was rather the voluntary recognition of a pre-existing right to water con-ued use, than the establishment of a new one. As time passes it becomes more and more apparent that the law of ownersnip of water by prior appropriation for a beneficial purpose is essential under our climatic conditions to the general welfare, and that Co. School Dist. 3, fund.....425 55 the Common Law regarding the flow of streams which may be unobjection able in such localities as the Britis. Isles and the coast of Oregon, Washington and northern California where rains are frequent and fogs and winds laden with mist from the acean prevail and moisten the soil, is unsuitable under our sunny skies where the lands are so arid that irrigation is required for the production of the crops necessary for the support and prosperity of the people. Irrigation is the life of our important and increasing agricultural interests which would be strangled by the enforcement of the riparian principle.

Congress is apropriating millions for storage and distribution and our Legislature have recognized the advantages of conserving the water Apply at Adam Bay, Silver City, Nev. the enactment, and construe it in the terruption except in 1887, 1898 and stock without material injury to the above for use in irrigation instead or

In the recent case of Kansas v. Coloand yet in the case of the absence or dition to that from other streams, as and into one owned by Winters, and rado before the Supreme Court of the tensions to be made to deserving liti- and uses more than the plaintiffs, we plaintiffs. The decree does not pro- and trebled the value of property in The argument advanced concedes his diversion of the waters of the the creek in excess of the amount nia,, that they nad to depart from the Reno and entered the order in open oppropriation which has remained any way interefere with the water be- that doctrine it would be difficult . longing to him coming from other make any future development; that sources. This he may turn into there has been a departure from the Ophir Creek and take out lower down principles laid down in Lux v. Haggin, provided he does not diminish the because at that time the value of flow to which plaintiffs are entitled. | water was not realized, that the deci-On May 30, 1877, John Twaddle, the soin has been practically reversed by father and predecessor in interest of the same court on subsequent occathe plaintiffs, conveyed to M. C. Lake sions, and that the doctrine of prior "one-third of that certain water ditch appropriation and the application of and flume known as the Twaddle water to a beneficial use is in effect

aid Twaddle, southerly from said fendants the waters of the stream as We must decline to award the docek through the lands of C. F. riparian proprietors and patentees of

consent that the judgment be modisach year, and by allowing plaintiffs for the remainder of the time the 20 sary for their household, domestic and stock purposes, and by striking from the decree the words:

"It is further a dored adjudged and decreed that said plaintiffs have the exclusive right to use and the exclusive use of said Upper Twaddle Ditch and Flume at all seasons of the year. If such consent is so filed the aistrict court will modify the judgment accordingly and as so modified tha judgment and decree will stand affirm

Talbot, J.

We concur: Fitzgeraid, C. J. Norce

Quarterly Report. Ormsby County, Nevada. Receipts.

Filed Feb. 1, 1906. Balane in County Treasury at end of last quarter ... \$40023 3634 Gaming licenses . . . . . . . . . . 1057 50 Fee of Co. officers..........531 46 1st. Instalment taxes......14924 21% Slot machine license ...... 282 00 Cigarette license ...........42 36 Semi-Annual Set. State Treas 531 78 Keep of W. Bowen .......... 45 00

Disbursements. Agl Assn. Bond Fund, Series Agl. Assn. Bond Fund, Series 

Total

61,077 36%

Co. School fund, Dist. 2......151 20 State school fund, Dist 2...160 00 State School fund, dist.3 ... 120 00 State School fund, Dist 4 ... 165 00 School library, No. 2.......86 07 21,968 59%

Re pitulation. Cash in Treasury October 1905 Receipts from Oct. 1st to Dec

30, 1905 ......21054 00% Disbursements from Oct. 1st to Dec 30, 1905 ......21968 59% Balonce cash in County Treas. January 1, 1906...... 39108 77%

H. DIETERICH, County Auditor Recapitulation Salary fund ......2725 78 Co. School fund ......3248 71 Co. Schood Dist. 1, fund .. 7638 221/2 Co. School Dist. 2, fund.....139 64 Co. School Dist. 3, fund .... 190 2616 State School Dist. 1, fund...1608 06 State School Dist. 2, fund.....77 51 State School Dist. 3, fund ... 371 39 State School Dist, 3, fund...371 35 State School Dist 4, fund ..... 19 23 Agl. Assn. Fund A ..... 680 824 Agl. Assn Fund, B............ 86 86 Agl. Assn Fund Special ... 1918 94 Co. School Dist. fund - special

Co. School Dist. fund 1, library 

Co School Dist. fund 3, library Co. School Dist fund 4, library

...... 1v 25108 77% H. B. VAN ETTEN County Treasurer,